Previous references.

1903-04

(Police)

File No. 141 of 03-04

Serial Nos. 1—10

Later references.

P-12)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—10

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Kesava Rao, Shekdar Dodbela Hobli, Nelamangala Taluk

Table of Contents

No 1. Petition from Shekdar Kesava Rao dated 4-12-02. Requesting to be reinstated in the Police Deptt

No 2. From the I.G. of Police No 1598 of 14-9-03 (& Reporting on the petition of 101 Kesa Rao—

No 3. To the D.C. Bangalore No 822 of 24-4-03 Calling for the report of the enquiry in the Rev Deptt regarding Kesa Rao as Shekdar.

No 4 Petition from Kesa Rao of 29-10-3 Requesting that a Jamadar's appointment be given him.

No 5 Petition from Kesa Rao of 15-12-03 Requesting proper enquiries be made & his former post given

No 6. From D.C. Bangalore No 949 of 16-5-04 Reports the results of the enquiry regarding the charge brought against Shekdar Kesa Rao—

G. R. S. 58

No 7. To the Dy of Police No 2639 of 26-6-04 Directing the case of Shekdar Hesa Naika Rao be remitted to the Dyc for a reconsideration of the Petitioners prayer

(No 8) To the D C Bangalore No 2588 of 31- Returning the Records regarding the Shekdar Hesa Naika Rao

(No 9 From the Dy of Police No 7448 of 1 Stating that Shekdar Hesa Rao will again be taken to the Police Stn

(No 10. No 2767 of 24-6-04. Endorsement to Hesa Rao stating that the Dy of Police has agreed to taken him back to the Police Stn so after there is a vacancy—

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Judicial

Subject Appeal of Kesava Rao, Ta
-dar, Doddabele Hobli Nelamang
Taluk.

Letter No. 1598/101 dated 14th Sept
1903 from the Inspector Genl of
Police forwarding the ——

---

1. The facts of the case are briefly as follows: —

The Petitioner Kesava Ra
was entertained in the Police
Deptt as a 1st class Duffeda
on 1st August 1883 and was
temporarily promoted to
2nd class jemadar on 3rd
April 1899. In connection
with a Criminal case on
the file of the 2nd General
Assistant Commr and 1st Class
Magte, Bangalore, the Petitr
was found to have wilfully
altered the date in the State-
ment of the Complainant, a
in Lubmitting the final
information report etc., and
degraded for a period of
six

six months, to a 1st class
Duffedar on a salary of Rs 16
with effect from 12th April 190
About this time Jemadar
Ramabhadrachar who was
holding an appointment in the
Revenue Deptt (as an accountant
in the Dy. Comrs Office) having
reverted to the Police Departme
he was provided with the
Jemadari vacated by the
Petitioner on his degradation.
As there was no vacancy
of a 1st grade Duffedarship
accommodate Kesava Rao,
he was given an acting
Thekdari on Rs 15 per
month. Kesava Rao
then represented to the
Deputy Comr that the train
had occasioned much loss
to him and requested that
he might be either sent
back to the Police Deptt o
given a promotion in his
Revenue Deptt, and in reply
he was assured that his
case would be considered
favourably. But as nothing
was

was done to him he ~~afte~~
appealed to the Inspector Gene
of Police praying for restoration
to his former place as 2nd
grade Jemadar, and in
reply he was informed
that he could not be restored
as his transfer to the
Revenue Department was
made at his own request.

2. The Petitioner now
appeals to Govt against
the above order. He states
that he was persuaded by
the Police Supdt, Mr L. Krishna
Rao and the then Dy. Commr
Mr K. P. Puttanna Chetty, to
accept the post of 2nd grade
Shekdar, and that they assured
him that he would be
promoted to the 1st grade
within a month or two. He
further states that he has
had a thorough Course of
training at the Police train-
ing School and has passed
the Inspectors Examination.

He prays that he may

2

be restored to his former
place as Jamadar in the
Police Dept.?

3. The Inspector General has not given his opinion, but in his memo of the 4th May 1903, the Supdt of Police, Bangalore states "just now there is a similar charge of tampering with the dates of records in the Revenue Department brought against the petitioner which is under enquiry, so that it is very undesirable to have a man with the petitioner's character in the Police".

His charge has been substantiated. Vide DC's letter No 4497 16-5-04.

Submitted for orders

14/10/03

4. The DC (Canvassing) may be called to report the result of the enquiry in the Revenue Dept., referred to above.

15-10-03

Yes

14/10/03

Petition returned.

5. The Petitioner has submitted another petition

in which he stated that
he reads that the Inspector
General has written to Govt
that the Petioner desired to be
transferred to the Shekdars
appointment; and that this
the Petitioner preferred the
transfer on condition of
his being given a 1st class
Shekdars post and that
therefore he may be given either
a 1st grade Shekdari vagera
-dari -

6. The Dy. Coms report
may be awaited - A reminder
is submd for approval -

Draft issued ——

16/11/03

7. Kesava Rao has again
submitted a reminder Petition
Another reminder to Dy Coms
Subd

24/12/03 Reminder issued

Letter No 949 dtd the 16th May 1904.

8. The Deputy Comrs submits
the records connected with the
enquiry into the charge of tampering
with the dates of certain revenue
records brought against the
Petitioner (and reports that the Assistant
Comrs in charge of the Nelamangala
Taluk is of opinion that Kesava

Rao might have entered incorrect dates in his reports through oversight and that he has not committed any mistake with any motive.

9. The Assistant Commr states that Kesava Rao has given entire satisfaction in the discharge of his duties during Janabunda and other occasions.

10. The present Inspector General of Police may, if approved be consulted with reference to the prayer of the petitioner for his restoration to the post of Police Jemadar.

Submitted for orders with a draft.

17/5/04

11. The petitioner Kesava Rao's case is a hard one. He was a first class Jemadar for nearly 16 years when, in April 1899, he was promoted to a Jemadari sub probation

A year after this, for certain faults committed by him in a criminal case, — which faults as set forth in para 4 of the DIG's letter dt 14-9-03, do not appear very serious or culpable, — Kesava Rao was degraded for a period of 6 months to a

first-class Daffedar (on Rs 16)—

12. But the Jemadari was meanwhile given away to another man who resigned from the Revenue dept.; and the first class Daffedari, to which at least the petr was entitled, was not forthcoming, owing to other arrangements having already been made—

This therefore, was where the petr's misfortune came in.

13— He was given an acting Shekdari on Rs 15 in the Revenue dept. He alleges that what he agreed to was a 1st class Shekdari (on Rs 25), this allegation is probably true, as he would not have consented to go on Rs 15 only when his pay as 1st class Jemadar was Rs 16—

14. The dept'l Comr seems to have assured him that his case would be considered favorably; but nothing was done—

15. In these circs there seems to be a good deal of justice in the petr's claim to be taken back into the Police dept. ~~if not as Jemadar, at least as 1st class Daffedar.~~

16. With these observations, the case may be remitted to the present Supt of Police for a reconsideration of the petr's prayer.

17.5.23

Draft sent
14/6/1923

Dr[illegible] to the Dessan for approval or other orders—

Draft approved & issued 18.5.04

In reply the Inspector General of Police states that he has issued a circular to the Deputy Commrs to intimate to him the first vacancy in 1st class Daffedari appointments in their respective Districts, and that on receipt of this information he will take up the Petitioner's case with the Police Deptt.

But Kesava Rao's prayer is that he may be given a Jemadari which post he held prior to his temporary reduction to a 1st class Daffedari

f vide paras 2 & 11 of this note.

Mealy this be submitted direct to the Inspector General of Police?

Two alternative drafts (1 endorsement to the Petr as directed, & another a draft to the Inspector G[illegible].) are submitted for appl or other orders

18/6/04

Petrs permanent address is Chintalapudi; & all that was directed in their order of 25-5-04 was that the IGP should examine the petrs' cases & that the petrs should be taken back into the Deptt. This the IGP has done, by offering to take him back as a 1st class Daffedar on a

vacancy arising.

The draft Kannada Endt may be approved —

Jo Dewan

18/6

18450
18.6.04

Endorsement approved by the Dewan & was issued on 24-6-04

No 2767/9 d: 24-6-04 to Kesavaiah.

for Instr.
7/c 9-9-/

22/12/02

No. 1589

Name of Petitioner Kasawatho

Village Doddakole

Taluk Kelamangala

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 4-12-02

Date of Receipt 5-12-02

Date of Disposal

of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

Petitioner as follows:-
The petitioner was employed
police Department for 19
1899, he was appointed
permanent Jamadar
order No 1430 of 17-11-1900 by
was reduced to the rank, Diet
ost on a Salary of Rs 1
months, on the ground
that the petitioner altered
ate in the deposition
complainant in Magis
time case No 10 of 1898. The petitioner

MGGDP—5,000—10.11.02

Petitioner Considerable action was taken
According letter Order the petitioner
discharged the duties in Kaffaltiya
Police Station for about one month
Meanwhile an order No 866
dt 18-5-00 was sent to the reason
was received directing that the
petitioner was transferred temporarily
to Nelumangala Taluk as Shekdar
on a salary of Rs 15/0/- though
the petitioner did not commit
any Crime nor he represented
to any body on his case. The petitioner
requested that he would not go
as Shekdar but remains
in the Police Department as
Duffadar for 6 months,
and represented the same to
the Police Superintendent and
Dr Comr Mr Puttanna Chetty
The petitioner was told that
he would be made all grade
Shekdar within 2 months,
that for the present the also
that post was not vacant and
that it was absolutely necessary
to provide Ramachandrachar
with Jamadar's post. The
petitioner went to Shekdar post
after mentioning the personal
orders in the diary. After 2
months, the petitioner applied
to the Taluk and District for
all grade Shekdar's post
and an endorsement
was received from the District

Police for 2nd grade Sub-Ins
post. The above post was
disposed of otherwise.
Now, applicant was wise
made to the District and
Inspector General of Police,
but no justice was shown.
At last an oral No 145
of 6-9-02 (Copy of which is enclosed) was received
from the Taluk. From the
above petitioner is very
sorry and feels disgrace
Orders have been given
various, stating that
the petitioner is not Competent
for Police duty. The petitioner
has passed Jamadar and
Inspectors' tests in the Police Department
passing Studies in the
Training School. Recommendation
may kindly be pleased
to take effect. The petitioner
worked hard in the
Police Department and
got presents. In the appeal
submitted to the Inspector
General of Police in Dr/50
details have been mentioned
If the enquiry is made in
the presence of the petitioner
detail will come out. Reference

found the petitioner may be
pleased to so leave was
granted the petitioner was
unable to be present himself -
If there are any records
concerning incompetence of
the petitioner the same may
be called for -
Prays that all the records
on the matter may thereof
be perused & that enquiry
be made in the presence
of the petitioner and that
all [illegible] be perused

1460
Ref 209

Office of S.N.2

the Inspector General of Police
in Mysore

No: 1598/101 one file.

Dated Bangalore 14th/15 September 1903

From

C. Madiah Esqr, Rao Bahadur
Inspector General of Police in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr, M.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
in the General & Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the appeal petition, dated 4th December 1902, presented by Kesava Rao, Sheikdar, Dodkeli Hobli Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore District

with

with reference to your No: 1589 dated 23rd December 1902, returning the same to him for being submitted through this Office.

The facts of the petitioner's Case are as follows:—

The petitioner was entertained in the Police Department as a 1st class Duffedar on 1st August 1883, and was promoted as a Jamedar Sub-proten on 3rd April 1899.

In Criminal Case No: 10 of 1899 - 1900 on the file of the 2nd General Assistant Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate, Bangalore, investigated by the petitioner, he was found to have:—

1. altered the date (from 12.8.98 to 13.8.98) in the statement of the Complainant,

2. failed to mention in the first information report the exact value

of the property lost by the Complainant

3. delayed the submission of the first information report and the charge sheet, and

4. failed to take necessary pre-cautions when he went to arrest one of the accused, in consequence of which the accused absconded.

5th - In the departmental enquiry conducted by the Assistant Superintendent Mr L. Krishna Rao, the petitioner was found fault with and degraded, for a period of 6 months, to a 1st class Duffedari on a salary of Rs 16/- with effect from 12th April 1900.

About this time, Jamedar Ramakhadra char, who was holding an appointment in the Revenue

Department

Department, having reverted to the Police Department, he had to be provided for and therefore the Amedari, vacated by the petitioner on his degradation was conferred on the former. As there was no vacancy of a 1st grade Daffedari to accomodate the petitioner without interfering with the promotions given to several officers and, as the petitioner would appear, from Mr Harding's memo without date and Mr L. Krishna Rao's D.O, dated 28th June 1901, to have moved the Superintendent to recommend his (the petitioner's) transfer to the Revenue Department he was given an acting Sheikdary on Rs 15/- per month.

He then represented to the Deputy Commissioner that the transfer occassioned much loss to him and

requested that he might be either sent back to the Police Department or given a promotion in the Revenue Department and in reply he was assured that his case would be considered favourably. But as nothing was done to him, he presented petition, dated 14th May 190 to the Inspector General of Police, praying for his restoration to his former place as 2nd grade Jamedar In reply, he received from the officiating Inspector General of Police endorsement No: $\frac{545}{30}$ dated $\frac{22nd}{23rd}$ Jul 1901 informing the petitioner that he could not be restored, as his transfer to the Revenue Department was made at his own request.

5. The petitioner now appeals to the

Government

Government against
order

The entire records of the Case are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Inspector General of Police.

Comd

S-IV-3

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government;
Secretariat, General & Revenue
Branch.

To The Dy. Comr.,
Bangalore Dt.

Date of passing the draft 24/4/03
Date of despatch

Regd. No. 822
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls.

In connection with
an appeal presented
by Keshava Rao, Shek
-dar of Dodgecli Hobli
it appears from the
report by the Supdt
of Police, Bangalore
Dt that a charge of
tampering with the
dates of records in
the Revenue Depart-
-ment brought against
the Petitioner is under
enquiry. The Dy. Com
is requested to repo
at an early date,
the result of the

In this matter
after his connection to
a Darkhast in the Office
Supt, & his transfer the
new Supt,

enquiry regarding the [illegible] &
received, to forward also the [illegible] thereof
[illegible]

yours

20.10.23

Secretary

[illegible]
20/10/23

V 13.11.03

1903-04

No. 1048. S.No.4

Name of Petitioner Kesavaiah Rao. Lekdar

Village Doddabele Hobli

Taluk Nelavangala

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 29-10-03

Date of Receipt 31-10-03.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

Ref. A.V.M.
31-10-03.

Reference
4.11.03

1589 of 1902 Ref. 15-11-03
209

R 209 dt 14-9-03 from the D-G of Police. No. 1578 Col reporting on the Petr's case was sent to Judl Dept on 2-10-03.

All
5/11/03

Transferred to the Judicial Department with reference to the above letter read from the Inspr Genl of Police relating to the Petr's case.

2-11-03. (Early)

12/11/3

ABSTRACT

With reference to his previous petns. about his transfer to the X appointment of Lekdar from that of Police Jamadar with a decrease of Rs. 5 in the monthly salary, the petr. states he hears that the Inspector General of Police has written to the Govt. that the petr desired to be transferred to the Lekdar's appointment

ut, he preferred the transfer
n condition that he should
e given the 1st class
kdar's place and not
n 3rd class sekdar's place
hich he is now given.

Prays that he may
e given the 1st grade
kdar's place or that he
ay be sent back to
e Jamadar's appoint-
ent.

7
ub
2/11/03
3

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 1048 R
Date 31-10-1903

S.IV

31/10/03

To 1048

ਮਿਤੀ 29.10.03

ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ (ਜ)
ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ

ਨੋਟ:- ਇਹ ਹੁਕਮ ਸਿਰਫ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੇ ਨਿਪਟਾਰੇ ਲਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਿਸਾਲ ਵਜੋਂ ਨਹੀਂ ਵਰਤਿਆ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ।

ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ (ਜ)
ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ

ਮਿਤੀ 29.10.03

ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਾਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਵੀ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੀ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ।

ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ (ਜ)
ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ

ਮਿਤੀ 29.10.03

ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਾਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਵੀ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੀ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ।

ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ (ਜ)
ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ

under the provisions
of transfer to the Jud.

48 of 1903 ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಪತ್ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ 12-10-03 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ

/12

Transferred to the
Judicial department
in continuation,
22/12

J/1 — 1903 S. No. 5

No. 1419

Name of Petitioner Thathavar on Shekdar,

Village Doddabelay, Holeli

Taluk Nelamangala

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 15/12/03

Date of Receipt 15/12/03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

States that he was removed from Police Jamadars post on a Salary of Rs 20/- to 1st Class Duffadars post on Rs 16/- for 6 months from the date he having been altered in Nagodi Sessions criminal case, that when the latter was employed as Duffadar, he was transferred as Shekdar on a Salary of Rs 15/-, that his application praying for his former appointment (Jamadar) after

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

or for 1st Grade Students
have not been Considered, and
that the will produce all
the awards granted by the
Officers and Certificates &
will be produced.

Resp. that all the above
be perused, that enquiry
be held in the pt's presence
and that Jama dance of
1st Grade Girls dance
be Conferred on them and
that difference of Rs 50/- withheld
be also ordered to be paid.

21/12/03

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Foreign Department
Rec'd No 14119R
Date 15-12-1963

ਮਿਤੀ 15.12.03

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਤੀ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਬੰਸ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਬਾਹਮਣੀਆਂ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਅਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ

ਬਨਾਮ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਤੀ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਬੰਸ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਬਾਹਮਣੀਆਂ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਅਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ

ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ

ਅਪੀਲ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੁਕਮ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਲਈ ਅਪੀਲ

ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਐਸ.ਐਸ. ਸੇਠੀ ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ

ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਐਸ.ਐਸ. ਸੇਠੀ ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ

ਇਹ ਅਪੀਲ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਤੀ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਬੰਸ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਬਾਹਮਣੀਆਂ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਅਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਬੰਸ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਬਾਹਮਣੀਆਂ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਅਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਿਰੋਜ਼ਪੁਰ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਨੇ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਅਪੀਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਦਵਾਨ ਵਕੀਲ ਨੇ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਨੇ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ।

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਦਵਾਨ ਵਕੀਲ ਨੇ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਨੇ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਉਤਰਦਾਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਆਧਾਰ ਲਏ ਹਨ ਉਹ ਵੀ ਸਾਬਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਨਾ ਹੀ ਉਹ ਆਧਾਰ ਤਲਾਕ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਾਫੀ ਹਨ।

1903.04 S.IV-6

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 16.

Despatched May 1904

Received

General No.

S No. 949

Enclosure No. 1 & a

Secy's No. 822/9 of 24.10.03.

Reports the result of enquiry into the charge of tampering with the dates of certain Revenue record by Prompt appraisal Kesava Rao Shekdar of Doddaballi, Nelamangala Taluk.

N. 949
Office.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, 16 May 1904

From D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Distt.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
C.B.P. Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

In reply to your Docket
No. 822/J of 24th October 1903, and
subsequent reminder, calling for
a report on the result of enquiry
into the charge of tampering with
the dates of certain Revenue records
brought against Kesava Rao, Shekdar
of Doddebelehobli in the Nelamangala
Taluk, I have the honor to submit,
herewith, the vernacular records
ending with the Range Assistant
Commissioner's memo No. 888 of
23rd

23rd April last in which he states that Kesava Rao might have entered incorrect dates in his reports through oversight and is of opinion that he has not committed any mistake with any motive.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

The Inspector Ge
of Police in Myp

Dated 2/6.5.04
No 2539
J

Sir,

In returning herewith the rec[illegible] do re-
-ceived with ~~from~~ letter no 1598 dated the 14th Sept
101 in connection with
1903, ~~[redacted]~~ an appe
petition presented by
Kesava Rao, Shekdar
of the Dodbele Hobli,
Nelamangala Taluk, pr
-ing for restoration
his former post & Jema
in the Police Dept, I
am directed to observe
as follows: —

The Petitioner Kes
Rao's case is a hard
one. He was a first
class Duffedar for
nearly 16 years, when, i
April 1899, he was
promoted to a

Jemadari. sub problem.

A year after this, for certain faults committed by him in a criminal case — which faults as set forth in para 4 of the Madras letter of the 14th September 1903, above referred to, do not appear very serious or culpable — Kesava Rao was degraded for a period of six months to a first class Duffadari (on Rs 16).

But the Jemadari was meanwhile given away to another man who reverted from the Revenue Department; and the first class daffedari, to which at least the petitioner was entitled if was not forthcoming, owing to other permanent arrangements having already been made.

This therefore, was here the petitioners misfortune came in.

He was given an acting The Collector

Rs 15- in the Revenue Department. He alleges that what he agreed to was a 1st class Thekdar (on Rs 25), this allegation is probably true, as he would not have consented to go on Rs 15 only, when his pay as 1st class Duffedar was Rs 16.

The Deputy Commr seems to have assured him that his case would be considered favourably but nothing was done for a long time. In these circumstances there would seem to be a good deal of justice in the Petitioner's claim to be taken back in the Police Department in which he was serving that the case be remitted to you for a re-consideration of the Petitioner's pra

2. The records recei with the Bangalore Dy. Commr's letter No. 949 dated the 16th Ju 1904, in connection wi the enquiry into the char

18811
21.V.04

24/5/04
To be returned by Mr with report asked for
10/5/04

I agree this
& this return
received by Mr
24/5/04.

charge of tampering with the dates of certain revenue records, brought against the Petitioner, are also forwarded for reference and return.

I have etc.

M/ 10574

Secretary

To,

The Deputy Comm
Bangalore
Dt

Dated 31.5.04
No 2583 Rev. on file
J

Has the honour
to return herewith,
the records connected
with the charge of
tampering with the
dates of certain Revenue
records brought again
Kesava Rao, Shekdar
of the Dodbele Hobli,
Nelamangala Taluk
received with ~~Your~~
letter No S.949 dated
~~the~~ May 1904.

By order

Dy Secretary

1903-04. J 18 1/3. S.No 29

From,

The Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.



To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysor

in the Genl: & Reve: Departments.

Dated.

Despatched. 16 June 04

Received .

General No: 7468

No: 4687

Enclosure No:

Stating that Shekdar Kesava Rao will again be taken to the Police Department.

No: 7448/469

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated Bangalore, 15th June 1904
66

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire, B. A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Departm

Sir,

Reference:-

Your letter No: 2539/J of the 26th May 1904 remitting for reconsideration the petition of Kesava-Rao, Shekdar of the Dodbele Hobli, Nelamangala Taluk, praying to be taken back into the Police Department.

----------ooOoo----------

I have the honor to state that I have issued a circular to the Deputy Commissioners to intimate to me the first vacancy in 1st class Daffedari appoint-ments in their respective Districts, and on receipt of this information, I will if possible take on the petitioner again in the Police Department as he appears to have been rather hardly treated.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

F E Carr
Inspector General of Police.

No. 2767 dt 24/6/04

19.01.04

By order

21.6.1504

Secretary